

Defining novel multilineage progenitor populations using single-cell RNA-Seq

Nathan Salomonis Department of Biomedical Informatics, CCHMC

Uncovering Cell Heterogeneity from Single-Cell RNA-Seq



Conflicting Evidence Mixed-Lineage States Exist

Article

Transcriptiona Commitment i SUMMARY

Graphical Abstract



Within the bone marrow, stem cells differentiate and give rise to diverse blood cell types and functions. Currently, hematopoietic progenitors are defined using surface markers combined with functional assays that are not directly linked with in vivo differentiation potential or gene regulatory mechanisms. Here, we comprehensively map myeloid progenitor subpopulations by transcriptional sorting of single cells from the bone marrow. We describe multiple progenitor subgroups, showing unexpected transcriptional priming toward seven differentiation fates but no progenitors with a mixed state. Transcriptional differ-

Isolation and Analysis of Diverse Mouse Hematopoietic Progenitors

Olsson et al. Nature 2016



Analyze using the 96-cell Fluidigm platform.
Average 3 million reads of PE 75nt reads.
Exclude outliers (depth, alignment %).

Automated Single Cell Analysis in AltAnalyze: Iterative Clustering and Guide-gene Selection



Molecular Dissection of Hematopoiesis from scRNA-Seq using ICGS



Multi-lineage priming??

Olsson et al. Nature 2016

Monocyte and Granulocyte Progenitors Defined by Opposing Transcription Factors



Olsson et al. Nature 2016

Ncam1 S100a8

> Cebpe Per3 Gfi1 Ets1

Cited2

Zfp518b

Zeb2 Tsc22d1

MIx

Irf8

Klf4

Irf5

Klf2 Klf6

Jun

Fos

Fosb

Egr1

Junb

Btg2

Egr2

Gata1 Gata2

lkzf2 Meis´

Gfi1b

Zbtb9

Tal1 Pbx1 Hlf Prdm5

Gfi1and Irf8 Interact on Promoters to Regulate Myeloid Specification



Olsson et al. Nature 2016

Intermediate Gfi1 and Irf8 Define a Metastable Bipotential Progenitor Population



Olsson et al. Nature 2016

Multi-Lineage Progenitor

HSC

E

MEG

Biopotential Progenitor

Initial Conclusions

- 1. scRNA-Seq combined with TF-deletion and ChIP-Seq can define transcriptional regulatory networks.
- 2. Appears to require deep RNA-Seq.
- 3. Non-HSC, Multi-Lineage progenitors are frequently found in CMP and GMP gated cell populations.
- 4. These cells are primarily defined by multi-lineage gene priming and only weakly defined by unique marker genes.
- 5. Multi-Lin's can be captured and enriched by sorting for progenitors with dual lineage programs (Gfi1 and Irf8 expression).
- 6. Genetic deletion of these factors traps cells in an undecided state.

Controversies and Questions from scRNA-Seq Predictions

- 1. Other myeloid biologists argue Multi-Lin's are technical artifacts (doublets).
- 2. Bi-Potential progenitors enriched but not purified.
- 3. Multi-Lineage progenitors and MEPs not identified.

Optimized Isolation of CMP Multi-Potential Progenitors





Multi-Potential Mono-Potential

New Algorithms to Predict Multi-Lineage States from scRNA-Seq (Schrodinger)



Predicted Multi-Lineage Progenitors from Other scRNA-Seq Datasets



Identification of similar Multilineage states from other scRNA-Seq datasets (ICGS + examination of lineage markers).

>200k reads/library 1.8k cells

HEMATOPOIESIS AND STEM CELLS

e-Blood

A single-cell resolution map of mouse hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell differentiation

Sonia Nestorowa,* Fiona K. Hamey,* Blanca Pijuan Sala, Evangelia Diamanti, Mairi Shepherd, Elisa Laurenti, Nicola K. Wilson, David G. Kent, and Berthold Göttgens

Department of Haematology and Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Schrodinger Detection of Multi-Lineage States in ~500 Mouse Bone Marrow Progenitors (Nestorowa et al.)



Tusi BK, Klein AM, Socolsovsky M et al. Nature. 2018 Mar 1;555(7694):54-60

Can This Approach Be Used to Find Similar Cell Populations Across Technologies?



Magela et al. 2017 Developmental Biology

Consistent Schrodinger Prediction of Multi-Lineage States in Embryonic Kidney

- 1 Medullary Collecting Duct
- 2 Collecting Duct
- 😑 3 Uteric Tip
- 4 Loop of Henle
- 😑 5 Distal Comma Shaped Body
- 😑 6 Podocytes
- 7 Mid S-Shaped Body
- 😑 8 Proximal Tubule
- 9 Pretubular Aggregate
- 10 Cap Mesenchyme
- 🔵 11 Cap Mesenchyme
- 🔵 12 Cap Mesenchyme
- 🔵 13 Endothelium
- 14 Nephrogenic Zone Stroma
- 😑 15 Cortical Stroma
- 😑 16 Medullary Stroma



Acknowledgements

Salomonis Lab

Meenakshi Venkatasubramanium Kashish Chetal

Grimes Lab (CCHMC)

H. Leighton Grimes Andre Olsson



Singh Lab (CCHMC)

Harinder Singh Virendra Chaudhri



Aronow Lab (CCHMC)

Bruce Aronow Phil Dexheimer



Potter Lab (CCHMC)

Steve Potter Mike Adam Bliss Magella



Funding

NIH (R01HL122661 – Grimes

CCHMC Center for Pediatric Genomics Award

Identifying Bi-Potential Megakaryocyte-Erythroid Intermediates from Human scRNA-Seq



CMP MEP MAP ERP	
1 Additional to the second se	CNRIP1
han a subtablished in the submit the third state.	ANK1
in the state of the second	TFR2
 The first second link deadly field (the strengthese) and individual second s Second second secon	ACSM3
1. A state of the state of t	CPA3
The second second of the second s	C3orf58
	PDIA5
1 All a leader of the second leader with the second leader of the sec	RGS18
h . Tablin, har o an addeala lita a ha dhaladh e . Ta an an an at	PDGFA
n ha ha an ha an an ha	PLEK
	ARHGAP6
i na shekara na shekara ka	CD52
A STATE IN THE ADDRESS OF A DECEMBER OF A	ID3
i di kalika kalenda kalenda kalenda di kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda kalenda k	SMIM24
- <u> </u>	ABCC4
ין און אונע ארי ארי ארי אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אי	C6orf25
ן האמנה אלא אלא אלא אלא איני באלא בידי אלא בידי אלא אין איז איני אלא אלא אלא אלא איני איז איני איז איני אין אי	CASP3
- Internet - mote and the internet in the internet in the internet in the internet in the internet internet in the internet interne	IBTK
i het alle standen die stand	GATA1
	SPINK2
	CSF3R
	IGHM
	GLIPR1
	MIAT
	HPGDS
	SMOX
	LBH
	NR4A1
	GPR141
	KHAG
	ANSPP KLE1
	GP6
	ITGB3
	ECER1G
	SEL

Monocle Trajectory Analysis of MarkerFinder Results Finds Distinct MEP Subsets



Schrodinger Accurately Predicts that MEPs are Mixed-Lineage Progenitors



Mixed-c1 : SLC44A1, ACTN1, TTC27, PDLIM1, FERMT3, TMSB4X, ITGA2B, ABCB1, MYH9, PTGS1, STOM, CTR9, SH2B3, C6orf25, CXCL8, TUBA4A, PKM, PLEK, CD9, GSN Mixed-c2 : CA1, MPC2, SF3B3, CD55, FBXO7, PRDX2, CD36, SDCBP, SPTA1, ANXA2, APOC1, RB1CC1, CALM2, WDR48, ACSM3, GOLGA4, ELL2, HBS1L, FAM45A, IARS, DLD, AHI1, SLC39A8, SKIL, ACSM1, BLVRB, SEC22C, CXADR, IRF1, ZDHHC2, USP12, RHOBTB3, EZR, KIT, FBXO34, ANK1, YBX1, STARD7, PDZD8

ERP: CNRIP1, TMEM14C, SLC40A1, FAM118A, RYR3, CASP3, U1, ZFP36L1, ELOVL6, HERC2P2, TRIB2, MYC, RREB1, P2RX5, SNORD3A

MEP : HSD17B11, FCER1A, RPS3AP47, CPA3, TESPA1, MEIS1, FREM1, SERPINB1, PBX1

<u>MKP</u> : CD52, CD74, FNBP1, IDS, CD37, KIAA0125, SORL1, AJ006998.2, AHNAK, EGR1, KLF4, KLF2, NPR3, CRHBP, PROM1, ADAM28, SMIM24, CLEC2B, ID2, ID3, VIM, ATP8B4